

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/633,615	08/05/2003	Masayuki Tanaka	04329.3104	5383	
22852	7590 06/27/2006		EXAMINER		
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			BRYANT, DELORIS S		
LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			2813	*****	
		DATE MAILED: 06/27/200	6		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

~^
1 Dx
12
v-

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/633,615	TANAKA, MASAYUKI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Deloris Bryant	2813		

	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	·	Deloris Bryant	2813				
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -							
THE	HE REPLY FILED <u>09 June 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1. 🛚	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a)	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.					
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. I no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
have I under set for may r	sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the th in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late educe any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) CE OF APPEAL	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
	NDMENTS  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered b	Acausa			
э. <u>Г</u>	(a) They raise new issues that would require further compared to place the application in be	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);				
	appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a		•	tile issues ioi			
	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		cotca olalimo.				
	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
_	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7. 🗌	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
	Claim(s) allowed:						
	Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:						
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. 🔲	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. 🔲	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.			
	UEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	4 do NOT	diti fo llo				
	The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.			nce because:			
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  Other:	(P10/SB/08 or P10-1449) Paper	Shiteper	$\alpha \mathcal{L}$			
		SUPE	CARL WHITEHEAD, IR RVISORY PATENT EXAL CHNOLOGY CENTER 21				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's arguments were insufficient to overcome the rejection of claims 1, 3-6 and 8 based upon U.S.C. 103(a) rejection as set forth in the last office action because examiner's argument that Matsubara in view of Tanaka does read on claim 1 as claimed. Matsubara does disclose an insulating film on the substrate so as to contact the conductive layer and also provides an interlayer insulating film (109) to cover the insulating film (113). Matsubara's insulating film does contain carbon, however he does not disclose that it is mainly composed of silicon nitride. Tanaka does disclose a silicon nitride film as a cap insulating film which functions as an insulative layer.